Charged by the chair of the senate to review and clarify the respective roles of UCC and APC.

Procedures:

Reviewed constitutional language regarding each

Committee met with Dr Mair and Dr Wright about their views on the function of the bodies

1. APC

- a. Concerned that issues that are part of the written purview of the APC were not sent through APC for discussion prior to being presented on the senate floor for a vote.
- b. Also, UCC might be considering issues that are not part of their written description of duties.
- c. The primary issues arising around items that require senate approval:
 - i. New degrees/majors/certificates
 - ii. Change in program length
 - iii. Name changes or closures
 - 1. Majors
 - 2. Colleges
 - 3. Depts
 - iv. Changes to university policy

2. UCC

- a. Concerned about the timeline for review of proposal if items must be reviewed by both UCC and APC
- b. Indicated that they would be willing to 'give up duties' if this would be clearly delineated
- c. Also would need mechanism to notify UF administration regarding changes.

Recommendation:

Notification of UCC and APC when items from 1.c.i-iv are under consideration. This could be the charge of the co-chair of the UCC elected from the senate; ie when an item is sent for consideration with UCC, the co-chair could notify APC to start deliberations. Opinions from both groups would be required to bring an item before the senate for a vote.